UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,944	05/08/2007	Martin Paul Wilson	9811-002US	8206
79526 DeMont & Brey	7590 10/30/200 yer, LLC	EXAMINER		
100 Commons	Way, Ste. 250	MOTTOLA, STEVEN J		
Holmdel, NJ 07	133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Α	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/597,944		WILSON, MARTIN PAUL			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		S	Steven J. Mottola		2817			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn be to reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS CC  a). In no event, howe  apply and will expire s  use the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim BIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 20 Octo	ober 2009					
′=	•	<u></u>	ction is non-fina	ıl.				
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4) 🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-18</u> is/are pending	g in the applic	cation.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛 (	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-18</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or e	lection require	ment.				
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accept	ted or b)□ obj	ected to by the E	xaminer.			
Α	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the	drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 & 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sivonen et al.

The language of the previous final rejection is hereby incorporated herein by reference. In regard to the amendments and arguments made against the rejection of these claims, the power supply of Taylor that is connected to the output of the amplifier FET at node 22 in fig. 1 of Taylor appears to be a modulated supply in that the envelope of the output signal is used to modulate the supply voltage via envelope detector 38 and control amp 40. Sivonen et al. is used only for the teaching of lowering the output impedance via the resistor Rp (fig. 3B) connected to the amplifier output. It is an appropriate reference for this teaching as it is an RF amplifier circuit. Regarding claims 6, 7-11, 15 and new claim 17, note that resistor Rs of fig. 4A of Sivonen et al. also acts to reduce the Q of the tank circuit in a manner similar to resistor Rp as explained at col. 4, lines 26-40 of Sivonen et al. Since Rs is in series with a reactive component (inductor L) the aforementioned claims are met when Rs is read as the resistive element. Regarding new claim 16, the resistor Rp is in series between the supply voltage source and the amplifier transistor output; the claim may otherwise be read on the references as claim 1 is. Regarding new claim 18, the difference added by this claim is the specific impedance range desired; however, the impedance may be set by choosing the resistor

value appropriately which is a result effective variable in that the effect of changing the resistor value on the output impedance would have been known.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Midya et al. in further view of Sivonen et al.

No arguments specific to this rejection have been presented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Steven J. Mottola/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2817